

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/466,627	1	2/17/1999	MING-LING LO	YO999-429	1398
44628	7590	01/25/2008		EXAMINER	
ANNE E. I 80 BENEDI					
		10591-4142		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1/7						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)							
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	09/466,627	LO ET AL.							
are 37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Maikhanh Nguyen	2176							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>08 November 2007</u> is defective 41.37.	ve for failure to comply with one c	or more provisior	ns of 37 CFR						
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within <b>ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS</b> from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. <b>EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.</b>									
The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.									
2. The brief does not contain a statement of the s canceled), or does not identify the appealed cla	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).								
	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).								
(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).									
5. The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))								
6. The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).								
7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).								
other evidence entered by the examiner and re	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and relied upon by appellant in the appeal</b> , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).								
9. The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferer (41.37(c)(1)(x)).	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$ ).								
10. Other (including any explanation in support of	the above items):								

William Bashore
PRIMARY EXAMINER

See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

The Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter Section is non-compliant as it fails to map each element of each independent claim to the specification by page and line number. The mapping of entire limitations is insufficient. Moreover, the mapping of each independent claim should be separate and not rely on the mapping of a prior independent claim for completeness. Appellant should note that mapping of dependent claims that do not contain means-plus-function limitations is not required.

The Grounds of Rejection Section is non-compliant in that it does not state the grounds of rejection, but merely refers to a reference and includes grouping of claims.

The Argument Section is non-compliant as it addresses items that are petitionable not appealable and because it does not include the proper headings for the grounds of rejection and subheadings for claims argued separately.

WILLIAM BABHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINED